Symmetric Functions and Cylindric Schur Functions

Peter McNamara

Seminário do CAMGSD, Instituto Superior Técnico
11th October 2005

Slides and papers available from
`www.math.ist.utl.pt/∼mcnamara`

What is algebraic combinatorics anyway?

The biggest open problem in combinatorics:

What is algebraic combinatorics anyway?

The biggest open problem in combinatorics:

Define combinatorics

What is algebraic combinatorics anyway?

The biggest open problem in combinatorics:

Define combinatorics

Define algebraic combinatorics

The use of techniques from algebra, topology, and geometry in the solution of combinatorial problems, or the use of combinatorial methods to attack problems in these areas.

Billera, L. J.; Björner, A.; Greene, C.; Simion, R. E.; and Stanley, R. P. (Eds.). New Perspectives in Algebraic Combinatorics. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

Edge labellings of partially ordered sets (posets)



Edge labellings of partially ordered sets (posets)



Thesis research

Edge labellings of partially ordered sets (posets)



Edge labellings of partially ordered sets (posets)



Main Theorems

Let P be a finite graded lattice. Then the following are equivalent:

1. *P has an S_n EL-labelling,*
2. *P is supersolvable,*
3. *P has a 0-Hecke algebra action on its maximal chains, with certain nice properties,*
4. *P has a maximal chain of left-modular elements (Hugh Thomas).*

Outline

- Symmetric functions
- Schur functions and Littlewood-Richardson coefficients
- Motivation for cylindric skew Schur functions
- Exposition of results

What are symmetric functions?

Definition

A symmetric polynomial is a polynomial that is invariant under any permutation of its variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n$.

Example

- $x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_2^2x_1 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^2x_1 + x_3^2x_2$ is a symmetric polynomial in x_1, x_2, x_3.

Definition

A symmetric function is a formal power series that is invariant under any permutation of its (infinite set of) variables $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$.

Examples

- $\sum_{i \neq j} x_i^2 x_j$ is a symmetric function.
- $\sum_{i < j} x_i^2 x_j$ is not symmetric.

Bases for the symmetric functions

Fact: The symmetric functions form a vector space.
What is a possible basis?

- Monomial symmetric functions: Start with a monomial:

$$x_1^7 x_2^4$$

Bases for the symmetric functions

Fact: The symmetric functions form a vector space.
What is a possible basis?

- Monomial symmetric functions: Start with a monomial:

$$x_1^7x_2^4 + x_1^4x_2^7 + x_1^7x_3^4 + x_1^4x_3^7 + \cdots .$$

Given a *partition* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$, e.g. $\lambda = (7,4)$,

$$m_\lambda = \sum_{\substack{i_1,\ldots,i_\ell \\ \text{distinct}}} x_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} \ldots x_{i_\ell}^{\lambda_\ell}.$$

Bases for the symmetric functions

Fact: The symmetric functions form a vector space.
What is a possible basis?

- Monomial symmetric functions: Start with a monomial:

$$x_1^7 x_2^4 + x_1^4 x_2^7 + x_1^7 x_3^4 + x_1^4 x_3^7 + \cdots .$$

Given a *partition* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$, e.g. $\lambda = (7, 4)$,

$$m_\lambda = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell \\ \text{distinct}}} x_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} \ldots x_{i_\ell}^{\lambda_\ell}.$$

- Elementary symmetric functions, e_λ
- Complete homogeneous symmetric functions, h_λ
- Power sum symmetric functions, p_λ

Typical questions: Prove they are bases, convert from one to another, ...

Cauchy, 1815

- Partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$
- Young diagram.
  Example: $\lambda = (4, 4, 3, 1)$



Schur functions

Cauchy, 1815

- Partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$
- Young diagram.
  Example: $\lambda = (4, 4, 3, 1)$
- Semistandard Young tableau (SSYT)



The Schur function s_λ in the variables $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ is then defined by

$$s_\lambda = \sum_{\text{SSYT } T} x_1^{\#\text{1's in } T} x_2^{\#\text{2's in } T} \cdots .$$

Example

$s_{4431} = x_1^1 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5 x_6^2 x_7 x_9 + \cdots .$

Example



Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{21}(x_1,x_2,x_3) &= x_1^2x_2 + x_1x_2^2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_3^2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_2x_3^2 \\
&\quad +2x_1x_2x_3 \\
&= m_{21}(x_1,x_2,x_3) + 2m_{111}(x_1,x_2,x_3).
\end{aligned}
$$

Fact: Schur functions are symmetric functions.

Question

Why do we care about Schur functions?

Why do we care about Schur functions?

- Fact: The Schur functions form a basis for the symmetric functions.
- In fact, they form an orthonormal basis: $\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$.
- Main reason: they arise in many other areas of mathematics. But first...

Note: The symmetric functions form a ring.

$$s_\mu s_\nu = \sum_\lambda c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda s_\lambda.$$

$c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$: *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients*

1. Representation Theory of $\mathcal{S}_n$:

$$(S^\mu \otimes S^\nu) \uparrow^{\mathcal{S}_n} = \bigoplus_\lambda c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} S^\lambda, \quad \text{so} \quad \chi^\mu \cdot \chi^\nu = \sum_\lambda c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \chi^\lambda.$$

   We also have that $s_\lambda =$ the Frobenius characteristic of χ^λ.

2. Representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$:
   $s_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_n) =$ the character of the irreducible rep. V^λ.

$$V^\mu \otimes V^\nu = \bigoplus c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} V^\lambda.$$

3. Algebraic Geometry: Schubert classes σ_λ form a linear basis for $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{kn})$. We have

$$\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \sigma_\lambda.$$

   Thus $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ = number of points of Gr_{kn} in $\tilde{\Omega}_\mu \cap \tilde{\Omega}_\nu \cap \tilde{\Omega}_{\lambda^\vee}$.

4. Linear Algebra: When do there exist Hermitian matrices *A*, *B* and $C = A + B$ with eigenvalue sets μ, ν and λ, respectively?

There's more!

4. Linear Algebra: When do there exist Hermitian matrices A, B and $C = A + B$ with eigenvalue sets μ, ν and λ, respectively?

   When $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} > 0$. (Heckman, Klyachko, Knutson, Tao)

There's more!

4. Linear Algebra: When do there exist Hermitian matrices A, B and $C = A + B$ with eigenvalue sets μ, ν and λ, respectively?

   When $c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} > 0$. (Heckman, Klyachko, Knutson, Tao)

By 1, 2 or 3 we get:

$$c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} \geq 0.$$ (Your take-home fact!)

Consequences:

- We say that $s_\mu s_\nu = \sum_\lambda c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} s_\lambda$ is a Schur-positive function.
- Want a combinatorial proof:
  "They must count something simpler!"

Skew Schur functions: a generalization of Schur functions

- Partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$

- Young diagram.
  Example:
  $\lambda \quad = (4, 4, 3, 1)$



Skew Schur functions: a generalization of Schur functions

- Partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$
- μ fits inside λ.
- Young diagram.
  Example:
  $\lambda/\mu = (4, 4, 3, 1)/(3, 1)$



Skew Schur functions: a generalization of Schur functions

- Partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$
- μ fits inside λ.
- Young diagram.
  Example:
  $\lambda/\mu = (4,4,3,1)/(3,1)$
- Semistandard Young tableau (SSYT)



The skew Schur function $s_{\lambda/\mu}$ is the variables $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ is then defined by

$$s_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\text{SSYT } T} x_1^{\#\text{1's in } T} x_2^{\#\text{2's in } T} \cdots .$$

$s_{4431/31} = x_4^3 x_5 x_6^2 x_7 x_9 + \cdots$. Again, it's a symmetric function.
Remarkable fact:

Skew Schur functions: a generalization of Schur functions

- Partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$
- μ fits inside λ.
- Young diagram.
  Example:
  $\lambda/\mu = (4,4,3,1)/(3,1)$
- Semistandard Young tableau (SSYT)



The skew Schur function $s_{\lambda/\mu}$ is the variables $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ is then defined by

$$s_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\text{SSYT } T} x_1^{\#\text{1's in } T} x_2^{\#\text{2's in } T} \cdots .$$

$s_{4431/31} = x_4^3 x_5 x_6^2 x_7 x_9 + \cdots$. Again, it's a symmetric function.

Remarkable fact:

$$s_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\nu} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} s_\nu .$$

Littlewood-Richardson 1934, Schützenberger 1977, Thomas 1974.

Theorem

$c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ equals the number of SSYT of shape λ/μ and content ν whose reverse reading word is a ballot sequence.

Example $\lambda = (5,5,2,1), \mu = (3,2), \nu = (4,3,1)$



11222113 No

Littlewood-Richardson 1934, Schützenberger 1977, Thomas 1974.

Theorem

$c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ equals the number of SSYT of shape λ/μ and content ν whose reverse reading word is a ballot sequence.

Example $\lambda = (5,5,2,1), \mu = (3,2), \nu = (4,3,1)$



11222113 No   11221213 Yes   11221312 Yes

$c^{5521}_{32,431} = 2$

The Littlewood-Richardson rule

Littlewood-Richardson 1934, Schützenberger 1977, Thomas 1974.

Theorem

$c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ equals the number of SSYT of shape λ/μ and content ν whose reverse reading word is a ballot sequence.

Example

$\lambda = (5,5,2,1), \mu = (3,2), \nu = (4,3,1)$



11222113 No   11221213 Yes   11221312 Yes

$c^{5521}_{32,431} = 2$ $c^{(12,11,10,9,8,7,6,5,4,3,2,1)}_{(8,7,6,5,4,3,2,1),(8,7,6,6,5,4,3,2,1)} = 7869992$

(Maple packages: John Stembridge, Anders Buch)

The story so far

- Schur functions: (most?) important basis for the symmetric functions.
- Skew Schur functions are Schur-positive.
- The coefficients in the expansion are the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$.
- $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ = number of points of Gr_{kn} in $\tilde{\Omega}_\mu \cap \tilde{\Omega}_\nu \cap \tilde{\Omega}_{\lambda^\vee}$.
- The Littlewood-Richardson rule gives a combinatorial rule for calculating $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$, and hence much information about the other interpretations of $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$.

Another Schur-positivity research project

Know $s_\mu s_\nu = \sum_\lambda c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda s_\lambda$ is Schur-positive.

Question

Given μ, ν, when is

$$s_\sigma s_\tau - s_\mu s_\nu$$

Schur-positive? In other words, when is $c_{\sigma\tau}^\lambda - c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \geq 0$ for every partition λ.

- Fomin, Fulton, Li, Poon: *"Eigenvalues, singular values, and Littlewood-Richardson coefficients,"* `http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math.AG/0301307`.
- Bergeron, McN.: *"Some positive differences of products of Schur functions,"* `math.CO/0412289`.

Another Schur-positivity research project

Know $s_\mu s_\nu = \sum_\lambda c^\lambda_{\mu\nu} s_\lambda$ is Schur-positive.

Question

Given μ, ν, when is

$$s_\sigma s_\tau - s_\mu s_\nu$$

Schur-positive? In other words, when is $c^\lambda_{\sigma\tau} - c^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \geq 0$ for every partition λ.

- Fomin, Fulton, Li, Poon: *"Eigenvalues, singular values, and Littlewood-Richardson coefficients,"* `http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math.AG/0301307`.
- Bergeron, McN.: *"Some positive differences of products of Schur functions,"* `math.CO/0412289`.
- Lam, Postnikov, Pylyavskyy: *"Schur positivity and Schur log-concavity"* `math.CO/0502446`.

Cylindric skew Schur functions

- Infinite skew shape C
- Invariant under translation
- Identify (a, b) and $(a + n - k, b - k)$.



Cylindric skew Schur functions

- Infinite skew shape C
- Invariant under translation
- Identify (a, b) and $(a+n-k, b-k)$.



Cylindric skew Schur functions

- Infinite skew shape C
- Invariant under translation
- Identify (a, b) and $(a + n - k, b - k)$.



Cylindric skew Schur functions

- Infinite skew shape C
- Invariant under translation
- Identify (a, b) and $(a + n - k, b - k)$.



- Entries weakly increase in each row
  Strictly increase up each column
- Alternatively: SSYT with relations between entries in first and last columns
- Cylindric skew Schur function:

$$s_C(x) = \sum_T x_1^{\#1\text{'s in } T} x_2^{\#2\text{'s in } T} \cdots .$$

$$\text{e.g. } s_C(x) = x_3 x_4^4 x_5 x_6^3 x_7^2 + \cdots .$$

- s_C is a symmetric function

Cylindric skew Schur functions

- Infinite skew shape C
- Invariant under translation
- Identify (a, b) and $(a+n-k, b-k)$.



- Entries weakly increase in each row
  Strictly increase up each column
- Alternatively: SSYT with relations between entries in first and last columns
- Cylindric skew Schur function:

$$s_C(x) = \sum_T x_1^{\#1\text{'s in } T} x_2^{\#2\text{'s in } T} \cdots .$$

$$\text{e.g. } s_C(x) = x_3 x_4^4 x_5 x_6^3 x_7^2 + \cdots .$$

- s_C is a symmetric function

- Infinite skew shape C
- Invariant under translation
- Identify (a, b) and $(a + n - k, b - k)$.



- Entries weakly increase in each row
  Strictly increase up each column
- Alternatively: SSYT with relations between entries in first and last columns
- Cylindric skew Schur function:

$$s_C(x) = \sum_T x_1^{\#\text{1's in } T} x_2^{\#\text{2's in } T} \cdots .$$

$$\text{e.g. } s_C(x) = x_3 x_4^4 x_5 x_6^3 x_7^2 + \cdots .$$

- s_C is a symmetric function

Skew shapes are cylindric skew shapes...

... and so skew Schur functions are cylindric skew Schur functions.

Example



Skew shapes are cylindric skew shapes...

... and so skew Schur functions are cylindric skew Schur functions.

Example



- Gessel, Krattenthaler: *"Cylindric partitions,"* 1997.
- Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine, Fulton: *"Quantum multiplication of Schur polynomials,"* 1999.
- Postnikov: *"Affine approach to quantum Schubert calculus,"* `math.CO/0205165`.
- Stanley: *"Recent developments in algebraic combinatorics,"* `math.CO/0211114`.

Motivation: Positivity of Gromov-Witten invariants

In $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{kn})$,

$$\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu = \sum_\lambda c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \sigma_\lambda.$$

In $QH^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{kn})$,

$$\sigma_\mu * \sigma_\nu = \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)} q^d C_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda,d} \sigma_\lambda.$$

$C_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda,d}$ = 3-point Gromov-Witten invariants
= $\#\{$rational curves of degree d in Gr_{kn} that meet $\tilde{\Omega}_\mu$, $\tilde{\Omega}_\nu$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_{\lambda^\vee}\}$.

Example

$$C_{\mu,\nu}^{\lambda,0} = c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda.$$

Key point: $C_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda,d} \geq 0$.

Motivation: Positivity of Gromov-Witten invariants

In $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{kn})$,

$$\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu = \sum_\lambda c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \sigma_\lambda.$$

In $QH^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{kn})$,

$$\sigma_\mu * \sigma_\nu = \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)} q^d C_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda,d} \sigma_\lambda.$$

$C_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda,d}$ = 3-point Gromov-Witten invariants
= $\#\{$rational curves of degree d in Gr_{kn} that meet $\tilde{\Omega}_\mu$, $\tilde{\Omega}_\nu$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_{\lambda^\vee}\}$.

Example

$$C_{\mu,\nu}^{\lambda,0} = c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda.$$

Key point: $C_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda,d} \geq 0$.
"Fundamental open problem": Find an algebraic or combinatorial proof of this fact.

Connection with cylindric skew Schur functions

Theorem (Postnikov)

$$s_{\mu/d/\nu}(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)} C_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda,d} s_\lambda(x_1,\ldots,x_k).$$

Conclusion: Want to understand the expansions of cylindric skew Schur functions into Schur functions.

Connection with cylindric skew Schur functions

Theorem (Postnikov)

$$s_{\mu/d/\nu}(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)} C_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda,d} s_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_k).$$

Conclusion: Want to understand the expansions of cylindric skew Schur functions into Schur functions.

Corollary

$s_{\mu/d/\nu}(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ *is Schur-positive.*

Known: $s_{\mu/d/\nu}(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \equiv s_{\mu/d/\nu}(x)$ need not be Schur-positive.

Example

If $s_{\mu/d/\nu} = s_{22} + s_{211} - s_{1111}$, then $s_{\mu/d/\nu}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is Schur-positive.

(In general: $s_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda$ has at most k parts.)

When is a cylindric skew Schur function Schur-positive?



Theorem (McN.)

For any cylindric skew shape C,

$$s_C(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \text{ is Schur-positive} \Leftrightarrow C \text{ is a skew shape.}$$

Equivalently, if C is a non-trivial cylindric skew shape, then $s_C(x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ is not Schur-positive.

Example: cylindric ribbons

C:



$$s_C(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)} c_\lambda s_\lambda + s_{(n-k,1^k)} - s_{(n-k-1,1^{k+1})} + s_{(n-k-2,1^{k+2})} - \cdots + (-1)^{n-k} s_{(1^n)}.$$

Formula: cylindric skew Schur functions as signed sums of skew Schur functions

Idea for formulation: Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine, Fulton
Uses result of Gessel, Krattenthaler

Example



Formula: cylindric skew Schur functions as signed sums of skew Schur functions

Idea for formulation: Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine, Fulton
Uses result of Gessel, Krattenthaler

Example



Formula: cylindric skew Schur functions as signed sums of skew Schur functions

Idea for formulation: Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine, Fulton
Uses result of Gessel, Krattenthaler

Example



Formula: cylindric skew Schur functions as signed sums of skew Schur functions

Idea for formulation: Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine, Fulton
Uses result of Gessel, Krattenthaler

Example



Formula: cylindric skew Schur functions as signed sums of skew Schur functions

Idea for formulation: Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine, Fulton
Uses result of Gessel, Krattenthaler

Example



Formula: cylindric skew Schur functions as signed sums of skew Schur functions

Idea for formulation: Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine, Fulton
Uses result of Gessel, Krattenthaler

Example



Formula: cylindric skew Schur functions as signed sums of skew Schur functions

Idea for formulation: Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine, Fulton
Uses result of Gessel, Krattenthaler

Example



$$s_C = s_{333211/21} - s_{3322111/21} + s_{331111111/21}$$
$$= s_{3331} + s_{3322} + s_{33211} + s_{322111} + s_{31111111}$$
$$- s_{222211} - s_{2221111} + s_{22111111} + s_{211111111}.$$

First consequence: lots of skew Schur function identities



A final thought: shouldn't cylindric skew Schur functions be Schur-positive *in some sense*?

C:



$$s_C(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)} c_\lambda s_\lambda + s_{(n-k,1^k)} - s_{(n-k-1,1^{k+1})} + s_{(n-k-2,1^{k+2})} - \cdots + (-1)^{n-k} s_{(1^n)}.$$

A final thought: shouldn't cylindric skew Schur functions be Schur-positive *in some sense*?



$$s_C(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)} c_\lambda s_\lambda \; + s_{(n-k,1^k)} - s_{(n-k-1,1^{k+1})} + s_{(n-k-2,1^{k+2})} - \cdots + (-1)^{n-k} s_{(1^n)}.$$

In fact,

$$s_C(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)} c_\lambda s_\lambda \; + s_H.$$

s_C: cylindric *skew* Schur function
s_H: cylindric Schur function
We say that s_C is cylindric Schur-positive.

A Conjecture



A Conjecture



Conjecture

For any cylindric skew shape C, s_C *is cylindric Schur-positive*

A Conjecture



Conjecture

For any cylindric skew shape C, s_C is cylindric Schur-positive

Theorem (McN.)

The cylindric Schur functions corresponding to a given translation $(-n+k,+k)$ are linearly independent.

Theorem (McN.)

If s_C can be written as a linear combination of cylindric Schur functions with the same translation as C, then s_C is cylindric Schur-positive.

Summary of results

- Classification of those cylindric skew Schur functions that are Schur-positive.
- Full knowledge of negative terms in Schur expansion of ribbons.
- Expansion of any cylindric skew Schur function into skew Schur functions.
- Conjecture and evidence that every cylindric skew Schur function is cylindric Schur-positive.

- Outlook
  - Prove the conjecture.
  - Develop a Littlewood-Richardson rule for cylindric skew Schur functions - this would solve the “fundamental open problem.”

The Schur function s_λ is a symmetric function

Proof. Consider SSYTs of shape λ and *content* $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots)$.

Show: $\#$ SSYTs shape λ, content $\alpha = \#$ SSYTs shape λ, content β, where β is any permutation of α.

Sufficient: $\beta = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+2}, \ldots)$.

Bijection: SSYTs shape λ, content $\alpha \leftrightarrow$ SSYTs shape λ, content β.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} i+1 & i+1 & & & & & & & & \\ i & i & \underbrace{i \quad i}_{r=2} & & \underbrace{i+1 \quad i+1 \quad i+1 \quad i+1}_{s=4} & & i+1 \\ & & & & & & i \end{array}$$

In each such row, convert the r i's and s $i+1$'s to s i's and r $i+1$'s:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} i+1 & i+1 & & & & \\ i & i & \underbrace{i \quad i \quad i \quad i}_{s=4} & \underbrace{i+1 \quad i+1}_{r=2} & i+1 \\ & & & & i \end{array}$$

$\square$